



## Wastewater Treatment Plant

12424 S. Toliver Rd./PO Box 248

Molalla, OR 97038

Phone 503.759.0205

[www.cityofmolalla.com](http://www.cityofmolalla.com)

---

December 24, 2024

TO: Mac Corthell, Asst. City Manager  
FROM: Seth Kelly, Wastewater Treatment Plant Manager

### RE: 2024 Sludge Judge Results

Mr. Corthell,

#### RESULTS

A Sludge Judge of Lagoon 1 and Lagoon 2 was performed on December 10<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, and 18<sup>th</sup>, 2024 by the WWTP staff. The Aeration Basin was not sludge judged as it has been removed from service in October 2022, and all biosolids were this removed and hauled off for disposal. The total in all lagoons as closely as we can determine is now **2847 dry tons**.

#### DATA AND CALCULATIONS

Table 1 summarizes each sample taken, the % solids found, the geometry of the section, and totals. Previous year's findings are also summarized for comparison.

#### SLUDGE JUDGE ACCURACY IN GENERAL

City Staff wishes to continue to make any users of this information, and previous sludge judge information published by the City, aware of the inherent challenges around analytical precision (repeatability) and accuracy (closeness to true value) of Sludge Judging as a technique in general. To that end, the City's Compliance Specialist, Holly DeRamus, has provided a detailed explanation (including video demonstration by "youtube" Link) of some of these challenges in a brief Appendix. City Staff advises any users of the information to be cognizant of these inherent challenges when making decisions, especially related to year-over-year progress. The most accurate measurement of progress available is the Annual Biosolids Report. For this year the City expects to report approximately 95 Dry Tons hauled. The Annual Biosolids report is due to DEQ due in February each year.

**Respectfully,**

**Seth Kelly, Molalla WWTP Manager**

12424 S Toliver Rd. | Molalla, OR 97038

Phone – [503.302.3600](tel:503.302.3600)

Email – [skelly@cityofmolalla.com](mailto:skelly@cityofmolalla.com)

Website – <http://www.cityofmolalla.com>

## NOTES

### Definitions (Def)

1. DRY TONS: equivalent *dry short tonnage* of sludge in a section
2. GALLONS SLUDGE: gallons of sludge in a section
3. SLUDGE DEPTH: average depth of sludge measured in a section (inches)
4. ACRES: surface area (in acres), of a section
5. DENSITY: The weight of a dry gallon of sludge

### Axioms (Ax):

1. There are 27,154 gallons in one acre-inch.
2. There are 2000 lbs in a short ton.
3. One acre is 43,560 square feet.
4. DENSITY is 10.842 lbs/gal (specific gravity 1.3, estimate revised from last year)

### Equations (Eq):

1.  $GALLONS\ SLUDGE = SLUDGE\ DEPTH * ACRES * 27,154$  (by Ax 1)
2.  $DRY\ TONS = (GALLONS\ SLUDGE * DENSITY) / 2000$  (by Ax 2)

## Appendix

**From:** Andy Peters  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 14, 2022 4:21 PM  
**To:** 'Holly DeRamus' <[hollyllama44@gmail.com](mailto:hollyllama44@gmail.com)>  
**Cc:** Seth Kelly <[skelly@cityofmolalla.com](mailto:skelly@cityofmolalla.com)>  
**Subject:** Sludge Judge Question

Holly,

We have a question about Biosolids measurement here in Molalla: Since 2018 Molalla has trying to use Sludge Judge techniques guided by EPA 833-B-81-100 to quantify year-over-year progress in biosolids removal. However, that guidance document, in section 2.1.1, points out that doing this is difficult because of the challenges around Analytical precision (repeatability) and accuracy (closeness to true value). This being the case, has the EPA or DEQ ever been able quantify that repeatability and accuracy in analysis of Biosolids, specifically in Sludge Judging Facultative Lagoons? In other words, if I do a sludge judge of a 40 acre lagoon one year, and then another the next year, is there a +/- % accuracy I can expect, assuming those performing the tests have followed the guidance?

Thanks Holly!

Respectfully,

**Andy Peters, Public Works Div Manager**

315 Kennel Ave. | PO Box 248 | Molalla, OR 97038

Phone – [503.759.0220](tel:503.759.0220)

Email – [apeters@cityofmolalla.com](mailto:apeters@cityofmolalla.com)

Website – <http://www.cityofmolalla.com>

---

**From:** Holly DeRamus <[hollyllama44@gmail.com](mailto:hollyllama44@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2023 11:40 AM  
**To:** Andy Peters <[apeters@cityofmolalla.com](mailto:apeters@cityofmolalla.com)>; Seth Kelly <[skelly@cityofmolalla.com](mailto:skelly@cityofmolalla.com)>  
**Subject:** sludge judge

Andy

To answer your question directly, no there is no definitive, accurate or reliable method of determining the repeatability or accuracy of sludge judge samples taken from a facultative lagoon. A few points to consider:

- The lagoon is a biological system that is subject to the environment and is a dynamic organism that is constantly in a state of receiving organics (food), converting the organics to water and stabilized solids all the while being in a non-static water body.
- The sludge blanket responds to temperature; in warmer weather the blanket will appear 'fluffier' as the water is less dense and in colder weather the blanket will appear more defined

as the water is colder and more dense. Temperature also affects the biological activity in the sludge blanket, as the water warms the blanket appears fluffier and in colder temperatures the blanket becomes denser as biological activity is slowed. Suffice it to say to compare blanket sludge judge readings the readings must be taken at the same time of the year and the same water temperature.

- The sludge judge itself is a tool for operators to use to get a general idea of sludge levels in clarifiers and can also be used in lagoon systems for a gross general measure of sludge levels. A sludge judge takes a core sample of the entire water column and gives the operator a visual view of the sludge blanket. There is a good video of the use of a sludge judge and just how readings can be misleading. Please note that all sludge judge readings in the lagoon are preformed from a boat on the lagoon. <https://youtu.be/fYyoRJwbq6Q>
- The video also demonstrates the last piece of the puzzle which is the sample collection for solids calculation. As the sludge judge takes a core sample of the entire water column, getting a sample of just the blanket is nearly impossible as demonstrated as the sludge just is emptied in a rush of water. All the calculations as to content of the lagoon are based on these samples and numbers. The sludge judge is a good tool for a visual inventory of sludge levels.
- The most accurate measure of sludge removed is from the sludge that is actually removed from the lagoon in gallons and concentration. As there is no good way to get a 'before' number it becomes problematic to determine percent removals. The volume of sludge removed to date has been significant and has met the intent of improving the operability of the existing system as it moves to decommission.
- The final piece is continued plant operation during the sunsetting of the lagoon system. Given the aeration basin situation the primary lagoon must be operated in a manner to meet permit limits going forward. For optimum operation of the primary lagoon the sludge dredging should be curtailed to allow the organics entering the lagoon to settle and begin to digest in the bottom of the lagoon. This will reduce the organic loading to the second lagoon and allow the conversion process to reduce effluent BOD, Suspended Solids and ammonia.
  - The other immediate concern is the loss of the aeration basin and the need for additional oxygen to the primary lagoon for the duration of the operation. Previous calculations suggested a horsepower requirement of 75 hp to aid in the conversion of BOD and reduction of ammonia. This aeration should be as close to the inlet end of the lagoon as possible.

I look forward to working with you going forward.

Holly DeRamus

Sludge Judge 2024, Table 1

		Measured Sludge Depth (ft, each sample)	Sludge depth (in)	dimensions (ft)	area (sq feet)	area (acres)	sludge (gallons)	Average TSS	Dry gallons	Short Dry Tons, Year 2023
<b>Lagoon 1</b>										
<b>Section 1</b>										
	Subsection 1	4'	48.0	189x102 rectangle minus a right triangle of base/height 77x102	15,351	0.35	459,329	4.58%	21,037	114
	Subsection 2	4'	48.0	176x102 rectangle	17,952	0.41	537,155	5.39%	28,953	157
	Subsection 3	8'	96.0	112x102 rectangle minus right triangle of base/height 48x42	10,416	0.24	623,330	5.24%	32,663	177
	Subsection 4	1.7'	20.4	195x144 rectangle	28,080	0.64	357,086	5.68%	20,283	110
	Subsection 5	5'	60.0	176x144 rectangle	25,344	0.58	947,921	4.95%	46,922	254
	Subsection 6	.7'	8.4	109x144 rectangle	15,696	0.36	82,189	4.62%	3,797	21
	Subsection 7	1'	12.0	a right triangle with base/height 208x118	12,272	0.28	91,800	7.76%	7,124	39
	Subsection 8	5'	60.0	176x135 rectangle	23,760	0.55	888,676	5.43%	48,255	262
	Subsection 9	8'	96.0	109x125 rectangle	13,625	0.31	815,368	4.81%	39,219	213
<b>Section 2</b>										
	Subsection 1	2'	24.0	rectangles 168x88 and 197x147, plus an equilateral triangle 109' base	49,683	1.14	743,301	5.23%	38,875	211
	Subsection 2	2'	24.0	rectangles 143x147 and 118x147 plus a right triangle of base/height 109x122	45,016	1.03	673,479	4.72%	31,788	172
	Subsection 3	2.5'	30.0	rectangles 80x134 and 97x50, a right triangle with base/height 50x88, and an isosceles triangle with equal sides (a)=84, and (b)=80	20,724	0.48	387,562	4.84%	18,758	102
<b>Section 3</b>										
	Subsection 1	2'	24.0	a rectangle 109x260, and a right triangle with base/height 113x243	42,070	0.97	629,397	4.17%	26,246	142
	Subsection 2	2.5'	30.0	a rectangle 260x147	38,220	0.88	714,756	4.35%	31,092	169
	Subsection 3	2.5'	30.0	a rectangle 260x126	32,760	0.75	612,648	4.51%	27,630	150
<b>Section 4</b>										
	Subsection 1	.5'	6.0	a rectangle 239x137	32,743	0.75	122,466	4.15%	5,082	28
	Subsection 2	.7'	8.4	a rectangle 239x214	51,146	1.17	267,816	3.30%	8,838	48
	Subsection 3	.7'	8.4	a rectangle 239x126	30,114	0.69	157,686	4.07%	6,418	35
<b>Lagoon 1 Total</b>										
										Year 2024
										2,401
										Year 2023
										2,665
										Year 2022
										3,485
										Year 2021
										4,183
										Year 2020
										3,079
										Year 2019
										3,709
										Year 2018
										6,663
<b>Lagoon 2</b>										
<b>Section 1</b>										
		4",6",6",5"	5.3	an ellipse where long radius=88' and short radius=47', a right triangle with base/height 302x428, and a rectangle 403x567	306,123	7.03	1,001,847	1.86%	18,634	172
<b>Section 2</b>										
		8",6",9",7"	7.7	a rectangle 327x454 and a right triangle with base/height 327x81	161,702	3.71	771,119	1.57%	12,087	112
<b>Section 3</b>										
		6",8",6",6"	6.6	a rectangle 435x259, and a right triangle with base/height 220x415	158,315	3.63	651,346	2.69%	17,489	162
<b>Lagoon 2 Total</b>										
										Year 2023
										445
										Year 2022
										851
										Year 2021
										2,151
										Year 2020
										1,483
										Year 2019
										3256
										Year 2018
										2628
										Year 2017
										207
<b>Aeration Basin</b>										
				a rectangle 60x90	5440	0.13			0	0
Permanently taken offline due to basin wall deterioration. 10/10/22										
All Biosolids Removed 12/15/22										
<b>Aeration Basin Total</b>										
										Year 2024
										0
										Year 2023
										0
										Year 2022
										260
										Year 2021
										260
										Year 2020
										2.06
										Year 2019
										1.37
										Year 2018
										167
<b>Grand Total</b>										
										Year 2024
										2,847
										Year 2023
										3516
										Year 2022
										5,896
										Year 2021
										5,926
										Year 2020
										6,337
										Year 2019
										6,338
										Year 2018
										7,037

Specific Gravity of Dry Ton Assumption	1.3	therefore, Density (lbs/gal) is	10.842
--	-----	---------------------------------	--------

Notes: Year 2021 number revised UP from 4899 to 5926 due to math errors found during review of this 2022 sludge judge as follows.  
 Lagoon 1 section 3 subsection 2 was reported as containing 40 dry tons in 2021 due to a math error not converting Feet into Inches. Section actually contained 284.  
 Specific gravity of a theoretical dry ton is likely lower than 2.2 as assumed last year. We have set the assumption at 1.3 based on knowledge of process.  
 The thinking was that while older sludge judges assumed a specific gravity equal to water 1.0, the actual specific gravity likely more resembles soil (2.2).  
 The City is unable to know the actual average specific gravity of the material since no volume measurement is possible. In the absence of further information, a specific gravity in between these two extremes has been chosen. Further research is required to verify.  
 Finally, 2021 totals for Lagoon 1 were previously reported as 2111 in error, the actual column sum is 4183 after revisions to specific gravity assumptions.  
 2023: WWTP Staff conducted its own Sludge judge instead of using third party contractor. Believe bake down for TSS to be more accurate since there is no holding time for moisture to evaporate off.